

GRÖBNER BASES AND POLYNOMIAL EQUATIONS

J. K. VERMA

1. Introduction and preliminaries on Gröbner bases

Let $S = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ denote a polynomial ring over a field k where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are indeterminates. A Gröbner basis is a set of polynomials in S which has several remarkable properties which enable us to carry out standard operations on ideals, rings and modules in an algorithmic way. Every set of polynomials in S can be transformed into a Gröbner basis. This process generalises three important algorithms:

- (1) Gauss elimination method for solving a system of linear equations,
- (2) Euclid's algorithm for finding the greatest common divisor and
- (3) The simplex method of linear programming.

One of the goals of these two lectures is to explain how to reduce the problem of solving a system of polynomial equations to a problem of finding eigenvalues of commuting matrices. We will introduce term orders first on the set of monomials in S and define the concept of Gröbner basis of an ideal.

Term orders on monomials in $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$

The set of monomials in the polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ is:

$$\text{Mon}(S) = \{x^a = x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} \dots x_n^{a_n} \mid (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n\}.$$

Definition 1.1. *By a term order on $\text{Mon}(S)$ we mean a total order $<$ on $\text{Mon}(S)$ which satisfies the following two conditions: (a) $1 < x^a$ for all nonzero $a \in \mathbb{N}^n$, (b) If $x^a < x^b$ then $x^c x^a < x^c x^b$ for all $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}^n$.*

Remark 1.2. If m, n, p are monomials different from 1 and $m = np$ then $n < m$ for any monomial order. Indeed, $1 < p$ and hence $n < np = m$.

Examples of term orders

Lexicographic order : The lexicographic order on $\text{Mon}(S)$ with $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$ is defined as follows: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Define $x^a < x^b$ if the first nonzero coordinate of $a - b$ from the left is negative. For example if $x < y$ then the total ordering on all monomials in x, y is

$$1 < x < x^2 < x^3 < \dots < y < xy < x^2y < \dots < y^2 < \dots .$$

Degree lexicographic order: We define $x^a < x^b$ in degree lexicographic order for $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ if $\deg x^a < \deg x^b$ or if $\deg x^a = \deg x^b$ then $x^a < x^b$ in lexicographic order.

Degree reverse lexicographic order: Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. We define $x^\alpha < x^\beta$ in degree reverse lexicographic if either $\deg x^\alpha < \deg x^\beta$ or if $\deg x^\alpha = \deg x^\beta$ then there the first nonzero coordinate in $\alpha - \beta$ from the right is positive. In this order, $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$.

Remark 1.3. The deglex and degrevlex orders are same for monomials in two variables. But they differ for three variables. For example

$$x_1^2 x_2 x_3 >_{\text{deglex}} x_1 x_2^3 \text{ but } x_1^2 x_2 x_3 <_{\text{degrevlex}} x_1 x_2^3.$$

The support of a polynomial $f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha$ is the set

$$\text{supp}(f) = \{x^\alpha \mid a_\alpha \neq 0\}.$$

The initial monomial $\text{in}(f)$ **of a polynomial** f is

$$\text{in}(f) = x^\alpha \text{ if } x^\alpha > x^\beta \text{ for all } x^\beta \in \text{supp}(f).$$

The leading term of f , denoted by $\text{lt}(f)$ is the term $a_\alpha x^\alpha$ where $\text{in}(f) = x^\alpha$.

The initial ideal of an ideal: Let I be a nonzero ideal of R . The **initial ideal** of I with respect to a given monomial order is defined by

$$\text{in}(I) = (\text{in}(f) \mid f \in I \setminus \{0\}).$$

Example 1.4. If $I = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s)$ is an ideal of S then the initial ideal of I may not be generated by $\text{in}(f_1), \text{in}(f_2), \dots, \text{in}(f_s)$. Consider the ideal

$I = (x_1^2 - x_2, x_1)$ in $k[x_1, x_2]$ under lexicographic order. Then $\text{in}(x_1^2 - x_2) = x_1^2$ and $\text{in}(x_1) = x_1$. Hence $(\text{in}(x_1^2 - x_2), \text{in}(x_1)) = (x_1)$. But $x_2 \in \text{in}(I) \setminus (\text{in}(x_1^2 - x_2), \text{in}(x_1))$.

Gröbner basis of an ideal: Let I be an ideal of $S = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Let $<$ be a monomial order on S . A set of polynomials $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in I$ is called a Gröbner basis of I with respect to the monomial order $<$ if

$$\text{in}(I) = (\text{in}(g_1), \text{in}(g_2), \dots, \text{in}(g_m)).$$

Proposition 1.5. *Every ideal I has a Gröbner basis.*

Proof. By the Hilbert basis theorem, the ideal $\text{in}(I)$ is finitely generated. Let for some $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m \in I$, the initial ideal of I be given by $\text{in}(I) = (\text{in}(g_1), \text{in}(g_2), \dots, \text{in}(g_m))$. Then g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m is a Gröbner basis of I . \square

Definition 1.6 (Reduced Gröbner basis of an ideal:). *A Gröbner basis G of I is called reduced if the coefficients of initial monomials of polynomials in G are 1 and $\text{in}(I)$ cannot be generated by a proper subset of $\{\text{in}(g_1), \dots, \text{in}(g_m)\}$.*

Reduced Gröbner Basis of an ideal

Lemma 1.7. (1) *There is no infinite descending chain of monomials in S with respect to any term order.*

(2) *Any nonempty subset of monomials in S has a minimal element.*

Proof. Let $m_1 > m_2 > \dots$ be an infinite descending chain of monomials. By Hilbert basis theorem the ideal generated by these monomials is finitely generated. Therefore there is a j such that $m_j \in (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{j-1})$. Hence $m_j = nm_i$ for some monomial n and $i = 1, 2, \dots, j-1$. Therefore $m_i < m_j$ which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 1.8. *Let I be a nonzero ideal of R with a monomial order $<$. Then*

- (1) *I has a reduced Gröbner basis.*
- (2) *Reduced Gröbner basis of I is unique.*
- (3) *If G is a Gröbner basis of I , then $I = (G)$.*

Proof. Existence of reduced Gröbner basis is clear. Let G be a Gröbner basis of I . If $I \neq (G)$ then the set of initial monomials of $f \in I \setminus (G)$ has a minimal element, say $in(h)$. But $in(h) \in in(I)$. Hence there is a $g \in G$ such that $in(g) \mid in(h)$. Write $in(h) = in(g)m$ for some monomial m . Consider $f = h - mg$. Then $f \in I \setminus (G)$ and $in(f) < in(h)$. Therefore $in(h) > in(g)$. This is a contradiction. Hence $I = (G)$. \square

Gröbner basis and division algorithm

Theorem 1.9 (Euclid's Division Algorithm). *For a polynomial $f(x) \in k[x]$ and a nonzero polynomial $g(x)$ we have a unique remainder $r(x)$ such that*

$$f(x) = q(x)g(x) + r(x).$$

The remainder $r(x)$ is either zero or $\deg r(x) < \deg g(x)$.

We may restate the condition $\deg r < \deg g$, as: no term in $r(x)$ is divisible by $in(g(x))$.

Theorem 1.10 (Multivariate Division Algorithm:). *Let $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m\}$ be a Gröbner basis of the ideal they generate. Then $f \in S$ can be written as*

$$f = q_1g_1 + q_2g_2 + \dots + q_mg_m + r$$

*for some $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m \in S$ and $r = 0$ or no term in r is divisible by any $in(g_i)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. The remainder r , which is unique, is called the **normal form of f with respect to G** and we write it as $r = N_G(f)$.*

Buchberger's Algorithm for Gröbner basis

Definition 1.11 (The S-polynomial). *Let f, g be nonzero polynomials in $S = k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. The S-polynomial of f and g is the polynomial*

$$S(f, g) = \frac{lcm(in(f), in(g))}{lt(f)} f - \frac{lcm(in(f), in(g))}{lt(g)} g.$$

Theorem 1.12 (Buchberger's Theorem). Let $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t\}$ be a set of nonzero polynomials in S .

- (1) The set G is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $I = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_t)$ if and only if for all $i \neq j$, the normal forms of $S(g_i, g_j)$ with respect to G are zero.
- (2) Let $I = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s)$ be an ideal of S . Add to $F = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s\}$ the normal forms of all the S -polynomials of pairs of polynomials in F with respect to F . Repeat this until a Gröbner basis is produced.
- (3) Every nonzero ideal of S has a unique reduced Gröbner basis of I with respect to the given term order on S .

Construction of a basis of $k[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]/I$

Definition 1.13. A polynomial $f \in S$ is called **reduced with respect to a Gröbner basis G** if no term in f is divisible by $\text{in}(g)$ for all $g \in G$. A monomial $m \in S$ is called **standard with respect to G** if $m \notin \text{in}(I)$.

Proposition 1.14. Let I be an ideal of S . Then

- (1) $S/I = \{N_G(f) + I \mid f \in S\}$. Moreover $f + I = h + I$ if and only if $N_G(f) = N_G(h)$.
- (2) In particular the residue classes of standard monomials are a basis of the k -vector space S/I .

Proof. (1) Let $f \in S$. Then by division algorithm $f = q + N_G(f)$ where $q \in I$ and $N_G(f)$ is reduced with respect to G . Hence $f + I = N_G(f) + I$.

(2) Let $f + I = h + I$. Write $f = q + N_G(f)$ and $h = r + N_G(h)$, for some $q, r \in I$. Then $f - h = q - r + N_G(f) - N_G(h) \in I$. Hence $N_G(f) - N_G(h) \in I$. But $N_G(f), N_G(h)$ are reduced with respect to G . Hence $N_G(f) = N_G(h)$.

(3) Since $N_G(f)$ is a k -linear combination of the standard monomials with respect to G , the residue classes of these form a basis of the vector space S/I . \square

2. Solving systems of polynomial equations

Consider a system \mathcal{S} of polynomial equations with complex coefficients:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= 0 \\ f_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ f_s(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

It is natural to ask (1) if \mathcal{S} has a solution? (2) How to find if \mathcal{S} has finite or infinite number of solutions? (3) If \mathcal{S} has finitely many solutions, how to find them efficiently?

Gauss Elimination and Gröbner Bases: Consider a system of linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} 3x - 6y - 2z &= 0 \\ 2x - 4y + 4w &= \\ x - 2y - z - w &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

The row echelon form of the coefficient matrix is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we use the lex order with $x > y > z > w$, then the linear forms $x - 2y - z - w, z + 3w$ corresponding to the rows of the echelon form matrix above constitute a Gröbner basis of the ideal $(3x - 6y - 2z, 2x - 4y + 4w, x - 2y - z - w)$.

Ideals and Varieties

Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ be the polynomial ring. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_s\}$ be a subset of polynomials in R . The **variety** defined by \mathcal{F} is

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{F}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid f_1(z) = f_2(z) = \dots = f_s(z) = 0\}.$$

The **ideal** of R generated by \mathcal{F} is the set of polynomials

$$(\mathcal{F}) = \{f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_sg_s \mid g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s \in R\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{V}((\mathcal{F}))$. If $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ then the ideal of V is defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(V) = \{f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \mid f(p) = 0 \text{ for all } p \in V\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{I}((a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)) = \mathfrak{m}_a = (x_1 - a_1, x_2 - a_2, \dots, x_n - a_n)$.

Existence of Solutions: Hilbert's Nullstellensatz

Theorem 2.1. (1) **The Weak Nullstellensatz:** *The system of equations*

$$f_1(z) = f_2(z) = \dots = f_s(z) = 0$$

has no solution $\Leftrightarrow 1 = f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_sg_s$ for some $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s \in R$.

(2) **The Strong Nullstellensatz:** *If J be an ideal of $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ then*

$$\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{V}(J)) = \sqrt{J}.$$

(3) **Constructive Hilbert's Nullstellensatz:** *Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ with reduced Gröbner basis G . Then $\mathcal{V}(I) = \emptyset$ if and only if $G = \{1\}$.*

Finiteness Theorem for Polynomial Equations

Theorem 2.2 (The Finiteness Theorem): *Let I be a proper ideal of the ring $S = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathcal{V}(I)$ is a finite set.
- (2) S/I is a finite dimensional complex vector space.
- (3) I has a Gröbner basis G having $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in G$ such that $\text{in}(g_i) = x_i^{d_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose $\mathcal{V}(I)$ is finite. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k be the i^{th} coordinates of all the points in $\mathcal{V}(I)$. Then $f(x_i) = (x_i - a_1)(x_i - a_2) \cdots (x_i - a_k)$ vanishes at every point of $\mathcal{V}(I)$. By Hilbert's Nullstellensatz, there is a d_i so that $f(x_i)^{d_i} \in I$. Let $d = \max\{kd_1, kd_2, \dots, kd_n\}$. Then $[x_i^d] \in S/I$ for each variable x_i can be expressed in terms of residue classes of lower powers of x_i . Hence S/I has a basis of residue classes of monomials in which powers of all variables are bounded. Therefore S/I is finite dimensional.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Now let S/I be a finite dimensional complex vector space. Consider the residue classes

$$[1], [x_1], [x_1^2], \dots$$

Since S/I is finite dimensional, these must be linearly dependent. Hence there are complex numbers $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_t$, not all zero, such that

$$\alpha_0[1] + \alpha_1[x_1] + \alpha_2[x_1^2] + \dots + \alpha_t[x_1^t] = 0.$$

Hence $f_1(x_1) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_1^2 + \dots + \alpha_t x_1^t \in I$. Therefore, $x_1^t \in \text{in}(I)$. Similarly some power of each variable is in $\text{in}(I)$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1): Let G be a Gröbner basis of I containing g_i so that $\text{in}(g_i) = x_i^{d_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence $g_i \in \mathbb{C}[x_i, x_{i-1}, \dots, x_1]$. This shows that $\mathcal{V}(I)$ is finite. \square

The Number of solutions

Definition 2.3 (The Interpolation polynomials). *Let $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Then there exist g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m called the **interpolation polynomials** so that*

$$g_i(p_j) = 0 \text{ for } j \neq i \text{ and } g_i(p_i) = 1 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Theorem 2.4. *Let I be an ideal of $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$. If $\mathcal{V}(I)$ is finite then*

$$|\mathcal{V}(I)| \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} R/I$$

and equality holds if and only if $I = \sqrt{I}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}(I) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Define the linear transformation

$$\phi : R/I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m, \quad \phi([f]) = (f(p_1), f(p_2), \dots, f(p_m)).$$

Then the Kernel $\phi = \{[f] \mid f(p_i) = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, m\} = \sqrt{I}/I$. Hence $m = |\mathcal{V}(I)| \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} R/I$. Therefore equality holds if and only if $I = \sqrt{I}$. \square

Theorem 2.5 (Stickelberger). *Let I be an ideal of $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ with $\mathcal{V}(I)$ finite. Then*

$$\mathcal{V}(I) = \{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \mid m_{x_i}([g]) = a_i[g] \text{ for all } i \text{ and } [g] \neq 0\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{V}(I) = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$ and $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = P_1$. Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m be the interpolation polynomials for the points P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m . Then

$$(x_i g_1 - a_i g_1)(P_1) = 0 \text{ and } (x_i g_1 - a_i g_1)(P_j) = 0 \text{ for } j \neq 1$$

Hence $x_i g_1 - a_i g_1 \in I$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore $m_{x_i}([g_1]) = a_i[g_1]$ for all i . Conversely, let $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $m_{x_i}([g]) = a_i[g]$ for $[g] \neq 0$.

Let $f \in I$. We wish to prove that $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = 0$. Since

$$f(m_{x_1}, m_{x_2}, \dots, m_{x_n})([g]) = f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)[g]$$

and $[f] = 0$, it follows that $f(a) = 0$. □

Theorem 2.6 (The Eigenvector Theorem). *Let I be a radical ideal with $V(I) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m\}$. Let g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m be interpolation polynomials for $V(I)$. For $g \in R$ define $m_g : R/I \rightarrow R/I$ by $m_g([f]) = [fg]$ for all $f \in R$. Then $\{[g_1], [g_2], \dots, [g_m]\}$ is a basis of eigenvectors for m_g with eigenvalues $\{g(p_1), g(p_2), \dots, g(p_m)\}$.*

Proof. Let $V(I) = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\}$. Let $g \in R$. We show that $[g_i]$ is an eigenvector of the linear map $m_g : R/I \rightarrow R/I$ with eigenvalue $g(P_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Observe that for all j ,

$$(gg_i - g(P_i)g_i)(P_j) = g(P_j)g_i(P_j) - g(P_i)g_i(P_j) = 0$$

Hence the polynomial $f = gg_i - g(P_i)g_i$ vanishes at each point of $V(I)$. Therefore by Nullstellensatz, $f \in I$ as I is a radical ideal. So $[gg_i - g(P_i)g_i] = 0$. Hence $[gg_i] = [g(P_i)g_i]$. Therefore $m_g[g_i] = g(P_i)[g_i]$ for all i . □

REFERENCES

- [1] David A. Cox, John Little and Donald O'Shea, *Using Algebraic Geometry*, Springer(2005).
- [2] David A. Cox, *Introduction to Gröbner bases*, Applications of computational algebraic geometry (San Diego, CA, 1997), 1-24, Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., 53.
- [3] P. Pedersen, M.-F. Roy and A. Szpirglas, *Counting real zeros in the multivariate case*, Computational Algebraic Geometry, F. Eyssette and A. Galligo, editors, Birkhäuser, Boston (1993), 203-224.
- [4] Bernd Sturmfels, *Polynomial equations and convex polytopes*, American Mathematical Monthly 105 (1998) 907-922.
- [5] Bernd Sturmfels, *Solving Systems of Polynomial Equations*, American Mathematical Society (2002).

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400 076
E-mail address: jkv@math.iitb.ac.in